

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/688,465	10/	16/2000	Bernhard Schatzler	GR 97 P 1049 D	GR 97 P 1049 D 1415	
7	590	09/24/2003				
Laurence A Greenberg				EXAMINER		
P O Box 2480 Hollywood, FL	33022			PAREKH	PAREKH, NITIN	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		. •		2811		
				DATE MAILED: 09/24/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u></u>			<u>m</u>						
	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)	•						
Advisory Action	09/688,465	SCHATZLER ET AL.							
•	Examiner	Art Unit							
	Nitin Parekh	2811							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
THE REPLY FILED 22 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application to the same of th	cation. A proper rep ich places the applic	ly to a ation in						
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of	•								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the state of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mote armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. Se I 36(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate exte the final Office action; or (ee MPEP extension fee ension fee under 2) as set forth in						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF									
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:								
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);									
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or si	mplifying the						
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	is.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):								
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		eparate, timely filed	amendment						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NO	T place the						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:									
Claim(s) allowed:									
Claim(s) objected to:									
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5</u> .									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	proved by the Exami	iner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).									
10. Other:	TOM THOMAS	monl							
	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAN TECHNOLOGY CENTER 28	NINER							

Application/Control Number: 09/688,465 Page 2

Art Unit: 2811

Advisory Action

Continuation of 5: does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The unsigned declarations under 37 CFR 1.131'is insufficient to overcome the rejections of record.